Administration Building
East Hearing Room
5334 S. Prince St.
Littleton, CO 80120
303-795-4630
303-738-7915 TTY
303-795-4630 Audio Agenda Line

Nancy A. Doty, Chair, District 1
Nancy Sharpe, District 2
Rod Bockenfeld, District 3
Nancy Jackson, Chair Pro-Tem, District 4
Bill Holen, District 5

Public Meeting

May 24, 2016
9:30 A.M.

The Board of County Commissioners holds its weekly Public Hearing at 9:30 a.m. on Tuesdays. Public Hearings are open to the public and items for discussion are included on this agenda. Items listed on the consent agenda are adopted with one vote. Items listed under regular business are considered separately. Agendas are available through the Commissioners' Office or through the County's web site at www.arapahoegov.com. Questions about this agenda, please contact the Commissioners' Office at 303-795-4630 or by e-mail at commissioners@arapahoegov.com.

CALL TO ORDER
Arapahoe County Board of County Commissioners

INTRODUCTION
Ron Carl, County Attorney
Joleen Sanchez, Asst. Clerk to the Board

ROLL CALL

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MODIFICATION TO THE AGENDA

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

1. **BOCC Public Meeting Minutes - April 26, 2016**

   Documents: BOCC PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES 04.26.2016.PDF

2. **BOCC Public Meeting Minutes - May 3, 2016**

   Documents: BOCC PUBLIC MEETING MINUTES 05.03.2016.PDF

CITIZEN COMMENT PERIOD
Citizens are invited to speak to the Commissioners on non-agenda items. There is a 3-

minute time limit per person, unless otherwise noted by the Chair.

CONSENT AGENDA

1. **5.2.2016 Warrant ACH Expenditure Report**
   Authorization to sign the Warrant Disbursement Register

   Ron Carl, County Attorney

   Documents: 5.2.2016 WARRANT ACH EXPENDITURE REPORT.PDF

2. **5.9.2016 Warrant ACH Expenditure Report**
   Authorization to sign the Warrant Disbursement Register

   Ron Carl, County Attorney

   Documents: 5.9.2016 WARRANT ACH EXPENDITURE REPORT.PDF

3. **Abatement**
   Adoption of a resolution approving the recommendations of the Assessor for an abatement petition denied by the Assessor for lack of jurisdiction

   Barb LeBlanc, Paralegal
   Ron Carl, County Attorney

   Documents: 5-24-16 BSR JURISDICTION ONE PARCEL.DOC, RESO 5-24-16.DOCX

4. **Adult Protective Services Funding For Full-Time Employees**
   Adoption of a resolution approving the Department of Human Services (ACDHS) utilization of increased Adult Protective Services (APS) Block funding as mandated by Senate Bill 15-109 to hire three fulltime positions in preparation for the anticipated workload increase with the implementation of SB 15-109. The funding granted is anticipated to be a permanent change to the block allocation and is 80% State funds requiring a 20% county funding match

   Angela W. Lytle, Deputy Director, Child and Adult Protection Services, Human Services
   Cheryl L. Ternes, Director, Human Services
   Janet Kennedy, Finance Department Manager
   Suzanna Dobbins, Finance Manager, Human Services
   Michael Valentine, Deputy County Attorney

   Documents: BSR FOR APS FTE REQUEST 2016.DOC

5. **Board of Assessment Appeals**
   Adoption of a resolution approving stipulations which resulted from agreements reached between the taxpayer and the County regarding a reduction in the amount of property tax owed, pursuant to the terms contained therein

   Ron Carl, County Attorney
   Karen Thompsen, Paralegal, County Attorney's Office

   Documents: 5 MAY 24, 2016.DOC, SAMPLE BAA RESOLUTION.DOC

6. **C16-016; Payment Agreement with Mark Smith Property Owner of 2101 S. Yosemite Street**
   Adoption of a resolution authorizing the Chair of the Board of County Commissioners to sign the Payment Agreement for case C16-026 for payment in the amount of $8,554.82 to Mark Smith property owner of 2101 S. Yosemite Street for removal

and reconfiguration of a portion of a wall/fence to correct a sight line obstruction to motorists

Charles V. Haskins, P.E., Engineering Division Manager, Public Works and Development
Bryan Weimer, Transportation Division Manager, Public Works and Development
David Schmit, Director, Public Works and Development
Todd Weaver, Budget Manager, Finance
Robert Hill, Senior Assistant County Attorney

Documents: C16-016 BSR FOR PAYMENT AGREEMENT WITH MARK SMITH.FINAL.PDF, ARAP_WALL_AGRE_SIGN.PDF

7. **Collaborative Foster Care Program Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)**
   Adoption of a resolution authorizing the Chair of the Board of County Commissioners to sign the Intergovernmental Agreement between Jefferson County Human Services, Division of Children, Youth and Family Services, Douglas County Department of Human Services, Division of Children, Youth and Family Services and Arapahoe County Department of Human Services, Division of Child and Adult Protection Services for the continuation of the Collaborative Foster Care Program (CFCP) effective June 1, 2016 for one year unless terminated

   Angela Lytle, Division Manager, Children, Youth and Family Services, Human Services
   Cheryl Ternes, Director, Human Services
   Suzanna Dobbins, Finance Manager, Human Services
   Michael Valentine, Deputy County Attorney

   Documents: BSR FOSTER CARE COLLABORATIVE IGA-MOU CONSENT 5-24-16.DOC

8. **County Veteran Services Office Report - April 2016**
   Acceptance of the Veterans Service Office Report from April 2016

   Linda Haley, Senior Resources Division Manager, Community Resources
   Tim Westphal, Veterans Service Officer Community Resources
   Don Klemme, Director, Community Resources

   Documents: 04-2016 BSR APRIL.PDF

GENERAL BUSINESS ITEMS

COMMISSIONER COMMENTS

***Denotes a requirement by federal or state law that this item be opened to public testimony. All other items under the "General Business" agenda may be opened for public testimony at the discretion of the Board of County Commissioners.**

Arapahoe County is committed to making its public meetings accessible to persons with disabilities. Assisted listening devices are available. Ask any staff member and we will provide one for you. If you need special accommodations, contact the Commissioners' Office at 303-795-4630 or 303-738-7915 TTY.
Please contact our office at least 3 days in advance to make arrangements.

MINUTES OF THE ARAPAHOE COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
TUESDAY, APRIL 26, 2016

At a public meeting of the Board of County Commissioners for Arapahoe County, State of Colorado, held at 5334 South Prince Street, Littleton, Colorado 80120 there were:

Nancy Doty, Chair	**Commissioner District 1**	**Present**
Nancy Jackson, Chair Pro-Tem	**Commissioner District 4**	**Present**
Nancy A. Sharpe	**Commissioner District 2**	**Absent and Excused**
Rod Bockenfeld	**Commissioner District 3**	**Present**
Bill Holen	**Commissioner District 5**	**Present**
Ron Carl	**County Attorney**	**Present**
Matt Crane	**Clerk to the Board**	**Absent and Excused**
Joleen Sanchez	**Asst. Clerk to the Board**	**Present**

when the following proceedings, among others, were had and done, to-wit:

CALL TO ORDER
Commissioner Doty called the meeting to order.

INTRODUCTIONS

ROLL CALL

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MODIFICATION(S) TO THE AGENDA
County Attorney Ron Carl added a motion to go into executive session to the end of the agenda.

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

The motion was made by Commissioner Jackson and duly seconded by Commissioner Holen to adopt the Agenda as amended.

The motion passed 4-0, Commissioner Sharpe absent and excused.

ADOPTION OF THE MINUTES
The motion was made by Commissioner Holen and duly seconded by Commissioner Jackson to adopt the minutes of the March 22, 2016 and March 29, 2016 public meeting as presented.

The motion passed 4-0, Commissioner Sharpe absent and excused.

The audio recording is the official County record of this meeting. Written minutes are a summary of the meeting and provided as a courtesy only.

CEREMONIES
There were no ceremonies on this date.

CITIZEN COMMENT PERIOD
There were no citizen comments on this date.

CONSENT AGENDA

The motion was made by Commissioner Bockenfeld and duly seconded by Commissioner Holen to approve the items on the Consent Agenda as presented.

The motion passed 4-0, Commissioner Sharpe absent and excused.

GENERAL BUSINESS ITEMS

Item 1 – Resolution No. 160308 - Cherry Tree Estates Preliminary Development Plan, Case Z15-001

Senior Assistant County Attorney Robert Hill established jurisdiction for the Board to hear this case.

Senior Planner Molly Orkild-Larson introduced the case and explained the plan details.

There was discussion regarding the height of the building. Ms. Orkild-Larson clarified that buildings would be a maximum of two stories at a maximum of 32 feet tall.

Tim Van Meeter, applicant, presented a PowerPoint presentation and introduced the development team. He discussed the need to install a secure fence surrounding the property, as this facility will serve residents with Alzheimer's and dementia. He then detailed the site background, access, location, and the number of proposed units. He said there would be independent-living units and memory care units.

The applicant explained why he feels this development is compatible with the surrounding properties, which are located in the City and County of Denver.

Melissa Kendrick, representing the applicant, discussed the proposed density for this site, which is lower than the surrounding areas. She explained how the developer has worked to meet the requests of the neighborhood. She also addressed concerns related to traffic cutting through the site; gates have been added to alleviate that issue.

Mike Rocha, traffic consultant, reviewed the traffic impacts, which he felt would be negligible; access points have been approved by the City and County of Denver.

Ms. Kendrick asked that the condition of pedestrian accessibility across this site be removed, as the safety and security of the residents is most important. She asked that the applicant have the flexibility to decide where the pedestrian path is built.

The audio recording is the official County record of this meeting. Written minutes are a summary of the meeting and provided as a courtesy only.

Commissioner Jackson asked for more information regarding Condition 4.

Ms. Kendrick stated that the applicant is comfortable with Condition 4, as written. She explained that there is a concern about Mansard roofs.

Ms. Orkild-Larson suggested that the condition remain as is, and that the Board prohibit Mansard roofs with a 4:12 pitch or "4:12 up to 12:12".

The public hearing was opened.

Ivo Roospold-opposed

Ruthann Zook-opposed

Beverly Rennie-opposed

Mary Ann Mayo-opposed

Lisa Forman-opposed

Loren Collier-opposed

The public hearing was closed.

Commissioner Bockenfeld asked about drainage issues.

Sarah White, Arapahoe County Engineering Division, explained mitigation plans for drainage.

Commissioner Doty asked about the setback from the property line.

Ms. Kendrick explained that 20-foot setbacks are proposed on all sides of this property, are reasonable, and fall within the regulations for the County's code.

There was discussion regarding traffic backing up onto Quebec. Mr. Rocha said Arapahoe County's standards regarding stacking for gated entrances would be followed.

Commissioner Jackson asked if a stoplight would be installed. Mr. Rocha stated that he has talked with Denver about ongoing concerns there, but there are ways to mitigate the left turn from Iowa to Quebec, which would be controlled by Denver.

Commissioner Jackson asked about the fencing. Mr. Van Meeter explained where the fence would be installed.

There was discussion regarding mitigation measures related to the landfill.

Paul Stone explained what steps would be taken to clean up the land.

Commissioner Holen asked about the environmental impact on the neighborhood.

The audio recording is the official County record of this meeting. Written minutes are a summary of the meeting and provided as a courtesy only.

Mr. Stone said there would be no impact on ground water and storm water mitigation would be in place.

Mr. Hill read the County's definition of density into the record and clarified that the definition is based on the number of dwelling units, not the number of people.

Commissioner Bockenfeld asked about the topography related to the height of the buildings.

Mr. Van Meeter stated that the grade was not changed in planning area one. He said there is no evidence of water flowing into Concha from his property, and a detention pond would be on site.

In the case of Z15-001, Cherry Tree Estates Preliminary Development Plan, the motion was made by Commissioner Jackson and duly seconded by Commissioner Bockenfeld that the Board of County Commissioners has read the staff report and received testimony at the public and finds itself in agreement with staff findings 1 through 3, including all plans and attachments as set forth in the staff report dated April 12, 2016, and approve this application, subject to the following conditions:

1. Prior to signature of the final mylar copy of the Preliminary Development Plan, the applicant agrees to address the Planning Division, Mapping Division, and Engineering Services Division comments and concerns, as outlined in their plans and reports.

2. At the time of the Final Development Plan, the applicant shall address the landfill material on-site and presence of flammable gas (methane) to the satisfaction of the Tri- County Health Department and Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment.

3. Prior to construction on the property, all land fill material shall be removed from the site.

4. All buildings in Planning Area 1 shall have a pitched roof (minimum 4:12) with no Mansard roofs, oriented parallel to the property line to provide a transition from lower heights adjacent to neighboring properties to the maximum building height at the peak of the roof.

5. At the time of Final Development Plan, a pedestrian path shall be developed along Colorado Avenue, connecting E. Colorado Avenue with S. Quebec Street. In the event a pedestrian path is developed on the south side of the property in the Cherry Creek right of way, this requirement to provide a pedestrian path on the property shall cease and terminate.

6. This approval is limited to approval of a senior community that shall operate in compliance with the Housing for Older Persons Act of 1995 Amendment to the Fair Housing Act.

7. At Final Development Plan, the applicant shall develop a mechanism to assure compliance with the Housing for Older Persons Act of 1995 Amendment to the Fair Housing Act.

8. Add a note to the Preliminary Development Plan that includes:

The audio recording is the official County record of this meeting. Written minutes are a summary of the meeting and provided as a courtesy only.

a. This development is approved only as a senior community that shall operate in compliance with the Housing for Older Persons Act of 1995 Amendment to the Fair Housing Act.

b. At Final Development Plan, the applicant shall develop a mechanism to assure compliance with the Housing for Older Persons Act of 1995 Amendment to the Fair Housing Act (HOPA), which shall include at a minimum the adoption of appropriate covenants, leasing agreement provisions, or other policies as required under HOPA and provision for maintaining and providing data to the County when requested to assure the County that the community is being operated as senior community in compliance with HOPA.

The motion passed 4-0, Commissioner Sharpe absent and excused.

COMMISSIONER COMMENTS
Commissioner Holen thanked the developer for bringing homes for senior citizens, and addressed the need for this type of housing.

The motion to go into executive session was made by Commissioner Doty, duty seconded by Commissioner Holen.

The motion passed 4-0, Commissioner Sharpe absent and excused.

There being no other business before the Board, Commissioner Doty adjourned the meeting at 10:55 a.m.

ARAPAHOE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

_____

MATT CRANE, CLERK TO THE BOARD
BY JOLEEN SANCHEZ, ASSISTANT CLERK TO THE BOARD

The audio recording is the official County record of this meeting. Written minutes are a summary of the meeting and provided as a courtesy only.

MINUTES OF THE ARAPAHOE COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
TUESDAY, MAY 3, 2016

At a public meeting of the Board of County Commissioners for Arapahoe County, State of Colorado, held at 5334 South Prince Street, Littleton, Colorado 80120 there were:

Nancy Doty, Chair	**Commissioner District 1**	**Absent and Excused**
Nancy Jackson, Chair Pro-Tem	**Commissioner District 4**	**Present**
Nancy A. Sharpe	**Commissioner District 2**	**Present**
Rod Bockenfeld	**Commissioner District 3**	**Present**
Bill Holen	**Commissioner District 5**	**Present**
Ron Carl	**County Attorney**	**Present**
Matt Crane	**Clerk to the Board**	**Absent and Excused**
Joleen Sanchez	**Asst. Clerk to the Board**	**Present**

when the following proceedings, among others, were had and done, to-wit:

CALL TO ORDER
Commissioner Doty called the meeting to order.

INTRODUCTIONS

ROLL CALL

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MODIFICATION(S) TO THE AGENDA
There were no modifications to the agenda.

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

The motion was made by Commissioner Holen and duly seconded by Commissioner Sharpe to adopt the Agenda as presented.

The motion passed 4-0, Commissioner Doty absent and excused.

ADOPTION OF THE MINUTES
The motion was made by Commissioner Sharpe and duly seconded by Commissioner Holen to adopt the minutes of the April 5, 2016 public meeting as presented.

The motion passed 4-0, Commissioner Doty absent and excused.

The audio recording is the official County record of this meeting. Written minutes are a summary of the meeting and provided as a courtesy only.

The motion was made by Commissioner Bockenfeld and duly seconded by Commissioner Holen to adopt the minutes of the April 12, 2016 public meeting as presented.

The motion passed 3-0, Commissioner Doty absent and excused, Commissioner Jackson abstained.

CEREMONIES
There were no ceremonies on this date.

CITIZEN COMMENT PERIOD
There were no citizen comments on this date.

CONSENT AGENDA

The motion was made by Commissioner Holen and duly seconded by Commissioner Sharpe to approve the items on the Consent Agenda as presented.

The motion passed 4-0, Commissioner Doty absent and excused.

Lynn Myers, Southeast Denver Economic Development Partnership thanked the Board of County Commissioners for their support of Arrow Electronics.

GENERAL BUSINESS ITEMS

Item 1 – Resolution No. 160316 - Case Z15-004, Xenia Street Townhomes Preliminary Development Plan

Robert Hill, Senior Assistant County Attorney, established jurisdiction for the Board to hear this case.

Bill Skinner, Senior Planner, introduced the applicant and reviewed the details of this case. He said the neighbors have concerns about building height, density and setbacks.

Scott Alpert, developer, presented a PowerPoint presentation, a copy of which has been retained for the file. He presented a rendering of the proposed buildings, which would be a maximum of 38 feet tall, and discussed setbacks. He described the characteristics of the surrounding buildings.

Commissioner Sharpe asked what the setbacks would look like. Mr. Alpert said there would be green space between the development and the neighbors, a drive way, and there would be fencing on the property line.

The Public Hearing was opened.

Heike Newman, speaking on behalf of Yosemite Village, explained the neighbors' concerns regarding building height and dwelling units per acre.

The audio recording is the official County record of this meeting. Written minutes are a summary of the meeting and provided as a courtesy only.

Ferrell Woods expressed concern about the height of the buildings.

The Public Hearing was closed.

Commissioner Sharpe asked Mr. Skinner to discuss density and height related to the Comprehensive Plan. Mr. Skinner said this development falls within the density for this site, but said the Comp Plan is largely silent regarding height.

Commissioner Bockenfeld asked about the transition between the existing properties and this development. He said it appears this project is compatible.

Mr. Skinner said the proposed buildings would be taller that the surrounding buildings, but the increase is not egregious.

Commissioner Sharpe asked why height was not addressed in the comprehensive plan.

Mr. Skinner suspects that at the time the comprehensive plan was developed, the citizens were focused on the broader category of single family homes versus multi-family homes.

Commissioner Sharpe asked Mr. Alpert why the applicant needs three stories instead of two stories.

Mr. Alpert said there is not much ground so the only option is to go up.

Commissioner Jackson clarified the setbacks and the building height.

Mr. Skinner added that the density has been revised to 19.6 dwelling units (DU) per acre and the number of units is capped at 28.

There was discussion regarding the condition related to the Open Space department which strongly recommends a recreation area on this site. The commissioners agreed that requiring a playground without knowing what it will look like is not fair to the developer and the neighbors, and that it is not the prevue of Open Space to get involved in site plans.

The motion to approve this case was made by Commissioner Jackson and duly seconded by Commissioner Bockenfeld: considering the findings and other information provided herein, staff recommends the approval of Case Z15-004 Xenia Street Townhomes Preliminary Development Plan, subject to the following conditions of approval:

1. Prior to Board signature of these plans, the applicant agrees to address Public Works Staff comments including concerns identified in the most recent Engineering Staff Report.
2. The developer shall provide a transition to mitigate impacts on the lower density and lower height single-family detached south of the site.
3. Open zoning violations on the subject property will be addressed before Staff will forward a copy of the plans to the board for signature.

The audio recording is the official County record of this meeting. Written minutes are a summary of the meeting and provided as a courtesy only.

4. Changes to the proposal agreed to by the applicant during the review and approval process, including conditions of approval agreed to at the March 15th Planning Commission hearing will be reflected on the application before plans will be forwarded to the Board for signature, including the following;
   a. Applicant will increase the eastern setback from 10 feet to 15 feet and the southern setback from 10 feet to 30 feet.
   b. The applicant will limit top of wall/gutter height to 30 feet of the southern building with the tallest roofline at 38 feet.

The motion passed 3-0, Commissioner Sharpe opposed, Commissioner Doty absent and excused.

COMMISSIONER COMMENTS

Commissioner Jackson said she understands why Commissioner Sharpe voted against this plan, but she is also concerned about the lack of affordable housing in this area, and housing like this fills a need in that community. She said she looks forward to an informal park and a nice development.

There being no other business before the Board, Commissioner Jackson adjourned the meeting at 10:30 a.m.

ARAPAHOE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

_____

MATT CRANE, CLERK TO THE BOARD
BY JOLEEN SANCHEZ, ASSISTANT CLERK TO THE BOARD

The audio recording is the official County record of this meeting. Written minutes are a summary of the meeting and provided as a courtesy only.

REPORT FOR 04/26/2016 TO 05/02/2016
FUNDS SUMMARY:

FUNDS SUMMARY:

10 General Fund	1,461,615.65
11 Social Services	250,633.21
14 Law Enforcement Authority Dist	4,380.92
15 Arapahoe / Douglas Works!	87,703.73
16 Road and Bridge	65,292.69
20 Sheriff's Commissary	44,302.67
21 Community Development	3,090.59
26 Grants	19,289.04
28 Open Space Sales Tax	58,204.89
29 Homeland Security - North Cent	32,616.14
33 Building Maintenance Fund	31,157.84
41 Capital Expenditure	1,002,170.15
43 Arapahoe County Recreation Dis	3,359.11
70 Central Services	739,799.49
71 Self-Insurance Liability	12,033.18
73 Self-Insurance Workers Comp	809.96
84 E-911 Authority	211.85
	==========
TOTAL	3,816,671.11

FUND REPORT - EXPENDITURE TYPE
FUND 10 EXPENDITURE REPORT

ADAM NEAL BURSON	Services And Other	111.00
ALLEGIANT MORTUARY TRANSPORT LLC	Services And Other	4,330.00
ALSCO	Supplies	954.75
ANTONIA GALVEZ SALDANA	Services And Other	19.98
ARAMARK CHICAGO LOCKBOX	Services And Other	262.50
ARAPAHOE LIBRARY DISTRICT	Services And Other	11,515.16
BACKGROUND INFORMATION SERVICES INC	Services And Other	803.00
BASELINE ASSOCIATES INC	Services And Other	4,270.00
BC SERVICES INC	MISC.	30.00
BRIAN BOSTWICK	Services And Other	150.98
BRIAN WEISS	Services And Other	100.00
BRUCKNER TRUCK SALES INC	Supplies	3,761.57
CAITLIN MOCK	Services And Other	119.66
CAROLINE HOGAN/PETTY CASH	Services And Other	10.00
CAROLINE HOGAN/PETTY CASH	Supplies	35.00
CDW GOVERNMENT, INC.	Services And Other	30.48
CDW GOVERNMENT, INC.	Supplies	273.21
CERTIFIED BALANCE SERVICE INC	Services And Other	99.00
CGRS INC	Services And Other	319.70
CHEMATOX LABORATORY INC	Services And Other	245.00
CHERRY KNOLLS PHILLIPS 66	Services And Other	309.60
COLORADO 4-H FOUNDATION INC	Services And Other	271.25
COLORADO BUREAU INVESTIGATION	Supplies	39.50
COLORADO COUNTY TREASURERS ASSN	Services And Other	450.00
COLORADO DOORWAYS	Supplies	1,414.80
COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY	Supplies	170.00
COMITIS CRISIS CENTER INC	Services And Other	17,000.00
COREMR L C	Services And Other	2,214.00
DAIOHS USA	Supplies	43.00
DARCY KENNEDY	Services And Other	46.14

REPORT FOR 05/03/2016 TO 05/09/2016
FUNDS SUMMARY:

FUNDS SUMMARY:

10 General Fund	1,559,285.70
11 Social Services	37,788.57
14 Law Enforcement Authority Dist	6,581.32
15 Arapahoe / Douglas Works!	22,624.74
16 Road and Bridge	5,906.91
20 Sheriff's Commissary	12,513.50
21 Community Development	145.52
26 Grants	44,758.83
28 Open Space Sales Tax	4,159.28
33 Building Maintenance Fund	13,536.06
41 Capital Expenditure	13,535.00
42 Infrastructure	18,948.34
43 Arapahoe County Recreation Dis	101.72
70 Central Services	6,338.21
71 Self-Insurance Liability	465.44
73 Self-Insurance Workers Comp	31,829.33
74 Self-Insurance Dental	4,708.47
84 E-911 Authority	2,562.32
	==========
TOTAL	1,785,789.26

FUND REPORT - EXPENDITURE TYPE
FUND 10 EXPENDITURE REPORT

3M	Services And Other	4,020.00
3M	Supplies	300.00
ACCOUNT BROKERS INC	MISC.	15.00
ADAMSON POLICE PRODUCTS	Supplies	6,296.40
ALSCO	Supplies	645.73
AMERICAN TIRE DISTRIBUTORS INC	Supplies	244.44
ANDREW CORNELL	Services And Other	184.73
ARAPAHOE COUNTY PUBLIC AIRPORT	Services And Other	6,482.00
ASHLEY CAPPEL	Services And Other	236.00
ASPIRE HR INC	Services And Other	232.50
ATRIUM PROPERTIES GROUP	MISC.	60.10
ATSI MANAGEMENT COMPANY	MISC.	15.00
AVI SYSTEMS INC	Services And Other	680.00
BATTERIES PLUS	Supplies	71.15
BISCUIT AND BERRIES	Services And Other	363.00
BRAUMBAUGH & QUANDAHL PC	MISC.	15.00
BRIDGESTONE RETAIL OPERATIONS LLC	Services And Other	44.99
CALIFORNIA STATE DISBURSEMENT UNIT	MISC.	52.15
CANON BUSINESS SOLUTIONS INC	Services And Other	45.25
CAROL G NEAL	Supplies	108.00
CDW GOVERNMENT, INC.	Supplies	25.02
CENTENNIAL ROTARY FOUNDATION INC	Services And Other	250.00
CERTIFIED CIRUS CONTROL SYSTEMS	Supplies	786.89
CHEMATOX LABORATORY INC	Services And Other	590.00
CHRISTIANSEN FORECLOSURE CLEANUP	MISC.	15.00
CINDY GARCIA	Services And Other	108.00
CITY OF AURORA	MISC.	106.00
CLIFTON LARSON ALLEN LLP	Services And Other	42,000.00
COLLEGE ASSIST	MISC.	359.95

Arapahoe County
Colorado's First

Board Summary Report

Date: **May 4, 2016**

To: Board of County Commissioners

Through: Ron Carl, County Attorney

From: Barbara LeBlanc, Paralegal

Subject: Abatement

Purpose and Recommendation
The purpose of this request is to obtain the authorization for the Chair to sign a resolution approving the recommendations of the Assessor for abatement petitions denied for lack of jurisdiction.

Background
This abatement was filed by an agent/petitioner and denied by the Assessor's Office.

Discussion
Parcel 2067-00-0-00-263 for tax year 2013 is denied for the following reason "Subject to 39-10-114(1)(a)(I)(D) A protest was filed for the year in which this petition asks for consideration based on "Overvaluation", and is the result of the Assessor's and County Attorney's office review.

Alternatives

Fiscal Impact
No decrease in the taxes collected.

Concurrence
The County Attorney support this recommendation.

Reviewed by:
Barbara LeBlanc, Paralegal
Ron Carl, County Attorney

RESOLUTION NO. 160--- It was moved by Commissioner _____ and duly seconded by Commissioner _____to adopt the following Resolution:

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of Arapahoe County met at a duly and lawfully called meeting held on Tuesday, May 24, 2016; and

WHEREAS, the Board at that time considered petitions for Abatement or Refund of Taxes pursuant to C.R.S. 39-1-113 and 39-10-114 submitted by various taxpayers and/or agents; and

WHEREAS, the County Assessor has recommended denial of all of these petitions for reasons as set forth within the petition and an agenda memorandum as tendered to the Board on this date, and the Board has reviewed the memorandum as presented by the Acting County Attorney and the petitions submitted by the Petitioners; and

WHEREAS, the Petitioners were not present before the Board of County Commissioners; and

WHEREAS, the basis of the Assessor's denial was that jurisdictional prerequisites were not met by the petitioners; and

WHEREAS, based upon the aforementioned, the Board of County Commissioners has no jurisdiction to act upon said petitions.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Arapahoe County as follows:

Petitioner	parcel number	year(s)
Brian Maltby	2067-00-0-00-263	2013

A protest was filed for the year in which this petition asks for consideration based on "overvaluation" C.R.S.39-10-114(1)9a)(l)(D)

1. That the Petition presented this date to the Board relating to Schedule number set forth therein, shall be and are hereby denied and the recommendation of the Assessor is hereby concurred with, and that no refund or abatement be allowed for the tax years as requested by the aforementioned Petitioners for the reasons as set forth upon the petitions.

The vote was:

Commissioner Bockenfeld, ; Commissioner Doty, ; Commissioner Holen, ; Commissioner Jackson, ; Commissioner Sharpe, .

The Chair declared the motion carried and so ordered.

Arapahoe County
Colorado's First

Board Summary Report

Board Summary Report

Date: **April 15, 2016**

To: **Board of County Commissioners**

Through: **Cheryl L. Ternes, Director, Department of Human Services**

From: **Angela W. Lytle, Deputy Director, Child and Adult Protection Services**

Subject: **Adult Protective Services Block Funding Changes and Additional FTE — Decision Making Session**

Request and Recommendation

This matter was presented and discussed in Study Session on 5/2/16. The Board of County Commissioners requested it be scheduled for Consent Agenda for formal approval of the Department of Human Services' (ACDHS) utilization of increased Adult Protective Services (APS) Block funding as mandated by Senate Bill 15-109 to hire three fulltime positions in preparation for the anticipated workload increase with the implementation of SB 15-109. The funding granted is anticipated to be a permanent change to the block allocation and is 80% State funds requiring a 20% county funding match.

Background

With the implementation of SB 15-109, mandatory reporting expands to include At Risk Adults with Intellectual Developmental Disabilities (IDD), effective July 1, 2016. Prior to this new legislation being signed into law, "At Risk Adult" was defined as a person age 70 years or older at risk of maltreatment. This new law now includes that population in addition to ages 18 and older with identified IDD. Colorado is expecting at least a 30% increase in volume as a result of this new legislation and has provided financial support to counties to prepare for and properly respond to that increase.

The Colorado Department of Human Services (CDHS) notified ACDHS on March 22, 2016, that supplementary funding as determined by the current APS Allocation formula in the amount of $83,031 had been granted to be spent by June 30, 2016. Unfortunately given the timeframes and time demanded by the hiring and onboarding process, it is highly unlikely that Arapahoe County will benefit from any of those funds. However, applying that same formula, Arapahoe County can expect approximately $332,000 as sustainable funding for SFY 17.

Links to Align Arapahoe

Service First: Assuring high levels of client service while expanding the type of services available to those served-- all designed to achieve excellent outcomes.

Fiscally Responsible/Quality of Life: The average caseload per Arapahoe County Adult Protection Caseworker is 17 which is a manageable workload with room for growth as the anticipated increase in the demanded services expands. SB 13-111 mandated a caseload of no more than 25 cases per worker. With the additional resources and continued effective workload management strategies implemented, Arapahoe County should be able to remain in compliance with that requirement. Manageable workloads allow high quality services to vulnerable adults in Arapahoe County.

Discussion
Request for three fulltime positions services:

SB15-109 implements into law mandatory reporting of At Risk Adults with Intellectual Developmental Disabilities (IDD), effective July 1, 2016. Prior to this new legislation being signed into law, "At Risk Adult" was defined as a person age 70 years or older at risk of maltreatment. This new law now includes that population in addition to ages 18 and older with identified IDD. Colorado is expecting at least a 30% increase in volume as a result of this new legislation and has provided financial support to counties to prepare for and properly respond to that increase. Current rule mandates a caseload ratio of county caseworkers to a maximum of twenty-five cases per caseworker, so the additional funding is to aid in compliance with those rules.

Workload/Caseload Information

	Caseworker to Client Ratio	Caseworker to Supervisor Ratio
Current	1:17	1:8
With Anticipated Workload Increase of 30% without Additional Resources	1:23	1:8 (one supervisor overseeing 8 caseworkers and over 160 cases)
With Anticipated Workload Increase of 30% with Additional Resources	1:16	1:5 (2 supervisors, each supervisor overseeing 5 caseworkers and approximately 80 cases)

In order for Arapahoe County to maintain the mandated case ratio with the anticipated workload increase, three fulltime positions must be added.

ACDHS is requesting to use the allocated supplemental funding to support two additional caseworker FTE positions and one supervisor position.

Fiscal Impact

Arapahoe County has been allocated an increase in block funding for Adult Protective Services in the amount of $83,031 for SFY 16 as a result of Senate Bill 15-109 to be used as specifically designated and spent by June 30, 2016. The funding increase allocated to statewide Adult Protective Services is projected to remain in place for future years. The County match for SFY 16 is $16,606 or 20% as with other state funded programs. The total additional allocation for SFY17 is $332,124, with a County match of $66,425. This supplemental request of $249,093 will cover the remainder of CY16 and the County match will be $49,818. This will be a supplemental request submitted to the Finance Department to be included in the 1st Quarter Budget Review scheduled for BoCC presentation in late April 2016.

Fiscal Impact of Three Additional Resources/FTE

CFY 2016	Salary/Benefits for 3 FTE	Equipment, Supplies and Mileage	Total	Awarded
SB15-109 Funding (Apr-June)	$59,391	$17,490	$76,881	$83,031
SB15-109 Projected Funding (July-Dec)	$118,782	$10,000	$128,782	$166,062

	Expenditures	Revenue	20% County Share
CFY 2016 - 3 Quarters	$ 249,093	$ 199,275	$ 49,818
CFY 2017 - Full Year	$ 332,124	$ 265,699	$ 66,425

Alternatives

ACDHS could choose not to utilize the supplemental funds allocated by the State. However, within a very short period of time given the anticipated increase in service demand, Arapahoe County would be very quickly noncompliant with the State mandated case ratio which could potentially lead to fiscal and other sanctions and most importantly the quality of service delivery would be negatively impacted as a result of an unmanageable workload.

Approved By:

Janet Kennedy, Finance Department Manager
Michael Valentine, Deputy County Attorney
Suzanna Dobbins, Human Services Finance Manager

RESOLUTION NO. It was moved by Commissioner _____ and duly seconded by Commissioner _____ to approve the Department of Human Services (ACDHS) utilization of increased Adult Protective Services (APS) Block funding as mandated by Senate Bill 15-109 to hire three fulltime positions in preparation for the anticipated workload increase with the implementation of SB 15-109. The funding granted is anticipated to be a permanent change to the block allocation and is 80% State funds requiring a 20% county funding match.

The vote was:

Commissioner Bockenfeld, _____; Commissioner Doty, _____; Commissioner Holen, _____; Commissioner Jackson, _____; Commissioner Sharpe, _____.

The Chair declared the motion carried and so ordered.

Arapahoe County
Colorado's First

Board Summary Report

Date: May 13, 2016

To: Board of County Commissioners

Through: Ronald A. Carl, County Attorney

From: Karen Thompsen, Paralegal

Subject: Approval of BAA Stipulation (1 Resolution Number)

Request and Recommendation

The purpose of this request is for the adoption of a resolution approving the Board of Assessment Appeals (BAA) stipulations listed below.

Background

These stipulations are a result of an agreement reached between the taxpayer and the County regarding a reduction in the amount of property tax owed, settling tax protests filed with the BAA.

Discussion

The following BAA docket number has been stipulated to for the tax year indicated below.

Tax Year	Docket #	Property Owner	Property Address	Reason	Original Value	Stipulated Value
2015/ 2016	66020	Moreland Properties LLC	9400 East Arapahoe Road	1.	$3,959,250	$2,800,000
2015/ 2016	66041	Crown Denver IV LLC	501 South Cherry	2.	$13,603,188	$12,650,000
2015/ 2016	66051	TNREF III Aurora Corporate	2400 South Peoria Street and 2450 South Peoria Street	3.	$7,374,000	$4,450,000
2015/ 2016	66185	6782 S. Potomac St. LLC	6782 South Potomac	4.	$4,469,000	$4,150,000
2015/ 2016	66233	Hubert T. Morrow	1800 West Littleton Boulevard	5.	$567,340	$515,000
2015/ 2016	66459	Quentin Street Partners LP	6982 South Quentin Street	6.	$3,127,300	$3,011,000
2015/ 2016	66569	Carriage Green LLC	15951 East 13th Place	7.	$14,925,900	$96,00,000

Reasons

1. Zoning changes resulted in legal non-conforming current subject use, with no extensive, necessary renovations or additions permitted, which in turn resulted in auto dealer forced to vacate. As of base period, most subject value in the land.
2. Income and sales comparison approaches indicate that adjustment to this value is correct.
3. Subject 100% vacant during base period. Income approach applying excess vacancy adjustment and sales with high vacancy indicate that adjustment to this value is correct.
4. Income and comparable market sales indicate that adjustment to this value is correct.
5. Comparable market sales indicate that adjustment to this value is correct.
6. Income approach indicates that adjustment to this value is correct.
7. Comparable market sales and GRM indicate that adjustment to this value is correct. Mass appraisal based on regression analysis not supportable.

Alternatives

Let protest proceed to the BAA for a decision. Said alternative would involve unnecessary time and expense for the County and the taxpayer.

Fiscal Impact

Reduction in the amount of property taxes collected for the above listed properties.

Concurrence

The negotiator for the County Board of Equalization, the County Assessor and the County Attorney all support this recommendation.

Reviewed By:

Ronald A. Carl, County Attorney
Karen Thompsen, Paralegal

RESOLUTION NO. 160XXX It was moved by Commissioner _____ and duly seconded by Commissioner _____ to authorize the Arapahoe County Attorney to settle the following Board of Assessment Appeals Cases (Docket Numbers), for the tax years listed below:

Docket #	Property Owner	Tax Year

After review by the County Attorney's Office, in conjunction with the Arapahoe County Assessor's Office and the Petitioners, evidence was submitted which supported the Stipulation and Petitioner agreed to a new value. The Assessor has recommended approval pursuant to the terms contained within the Stipulations. Based upon the evidence submitted to the Board on this date, the Board has no reason not to concur with the proposed Stipulations.

The vote was:

Commissioner Bockenfeld, ; Commissioner Doty, ; Commissioner Holen, ; Commissioner Jackson, ; Commissioner Sharpe, .

The Chair declared the motion carried and so ordered.

Board Summary Report

Date: May 10, 2016

To: Board of County Commissioners

Through: Dave Schmit, P.E., Director Public Works and Development

From: Charles V. Haskins, P.E., Engineering Division Manager

Subject: **C16-016; Payment Agreement with Mark Smith Property Owner of 2101 S. Yosemite Street, Approval of Agreement and Payment of $8,554.82 to Homeowner**

Request and Recommendation

Public Works and Development (PWD) is requesting approval of a Payment Agreement with Mark Smith property owner of 2101 S. Yosemite Street for removal and reconfiguration of a portion of a wall/fence to correct a sight line obstruction to motorist. PWD staff is recommending:

1) Approval of the agreement.
2) Authorization for the BOCC Chair to sign the agreement
3) Authorize Staff to encumber $8,554.82 via purchase order to fund the County's obligation under the agreement.
4) Authorize Staff to make expenditures to meet contractual obligations of the payment Agreement.

This matter was discussed with the BoCC in a study session dated March 21st 2016.

Background

On June 21, 2013, the County issued a building permit for Mark Smith to construct a perimeter wall/fence around his property. On June 26, 2015, Public Works and Development staff began to receive complaints by motorist that the wall/fence was blocking sight distance at the intersection of E. Evans an S. Yosemite Street for vehicles turning left onto S. Quebec Way. Upon investigation of the matter it was determined that the 6 ft. columns at the southwest corner of the intersection were obstructing sight distance and creating safety issues for motorists. PWD staff contacted Mark Smith in an effort to have him modify the columns to restore sight distance. Mr. Smith agreed to modify the wall if the County funded the removal and reconfiguration. Many attempts were made to cost share the wall/fence modifications but the property owner resisted stating that the County issued him a valid building permit to build the wall/fence and that he built the wall in accordance with that building permit.

Links to Align Arapahoe

Provide Safe and Efficient Roadway Infrastrucure

Discussion

After several attempts to resolve this issue with the propery owner and our need to address this safety issue, PWD negotiated the attached Payment Agreement. The agreement contemplates that property owner will contract the removal and reconfiguraton of the wall/fence and the County will make payments on the work as the project progresses to a maximun of $8,554.82 (see attached cost estimate). PWD staff discussed this issue with the Board in a study session on March 21, 2016 and recommended payment of the $8554.82 based on some responsibility from the County staff for oversight in not anticipating the sight distance obstruction during permit review and the urgency to address this safety issue. During the study session the PWD staff pledged that additional training would be provided to the staff devoted to review of these types of permits and that requirements would be imposed on building permit Applicants to provide adequate sight line analysis with permit applications for wall/fence construction.

Alternatives

There is an option to not execute the Payment Agreement and pursue this matter through the Courts but the County would need to expend considerable staff and legal resources and runs the risks of still being responsible for the wall/fence reconfiguration costs.

Fiscal Impact

The fiscal impact of executing the Payment Agreement will be $8,554.82.

This action (approval and execution of the Payment Agreement) will encumber the County funding. Funding for this action is in the following account:

424516016-54367	Construction	$8,554.82
WBS16016.1.7.6	Construction Other	$8,554.82

The County will make payments as work is completed, verified, and invoices are submitted for reimbursement to a maximum of $8,554.82.

Concurrence

PWD staff received preliminary concurrance from the BoCC at the March 21, 2016 study session to proceed with the Payment Agreement.

Attorney Comments
The County Attorney's Office has reviewed the agreement and concurs with this requested action.

Reviewed By:

Charles V. Haskins, Engineering Service Division Manager

Bryan D. Weimer, Transportation Division Manager

David M. Schmit, PWD Director

Robert Hill, Senior Assistant County Attorney

cc: **Email**
David M. Schmit, Director, Public Works
Bryan D. Weimer, Division Manager – Transportation Division
Charles V. Haskins, Division Manager – Engineering Services Division
Robert Hill, Assistant County Attorney
Rhonda Robinson, PW&D Budget Analyst
Jessica Savko, Finance Department
Todd Weaver, Finance Department
Loren Kohler, Finance Department
Kim Lynch, Administration
Reader
File (C16-016)
Agenda Notebook

Hard Copy
Bryan D. Weimer, Division Manager – Transportation
Jon Williams, CIP Engineer III
File (C16-016)
Reader

RESOLUTION NO. xxxx. It was moved by Commissioner and duly seconded by Commissioner to approve the Payment Agreement with Mark Smith property owner of 2101 South Yosemite Street as presented by staff, and to authorize the Chair's signature on the Agreement. The maximum payment amount is $8,554.82 and Staff is authorized to make expenditures to meet contractual obligations.

The vote was:

Commissioner Bockenfeld, ; Commissioner Doty, ; Commissioner Holen, ; Commissioner Jackson, ; Commissioner Sharpe, .

The Chair declared the motion carried and so ordered.

PAYMENT AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT, is entered into this ____ day of _____, 2016 by and between Arapahoe County, Colorado ("County") and Mark Smith (Owner) for removal and reconfiguration of a portion of wall/fencing to correct a sight line obstruction to traffic caused by that portion of the wall.

WHEREAS, Owner is the owner of the property at 2101 S. Yosemite Street, Denver, Colorado 80231; and

WHEREAS, Owner applied for a variance and building permit to erect a wall/fence around his property, and County approved both the variance and the building permit for the wall/fence as described in the variance and building permit applications; and

WHEREAS, Owner erected the wall/fence substantially as approved, but it was then discovered that the wall/fence created a sight line obstruction for traffic turning left from East Evans Road onto South Quebec such that the available sight distance for a driver making a left turn on to South Quebec does not meet the minimum recommended sight distance under AASHTO standards; and

WHEREAS, County has installed warning signage for vehicles traveling on South Yosemite as a temporary measure to mitigate traffic safety issues caused by the sight obstruction; and

WHEREAS, in order to fully mitigate traffic safety issues caused by the sight line obstruction, the wall/fence must be reconfigured at the north east corner of the Owner's property: and

WHEREAS, both County and Owner acknowledge that the sight distance obstruction caused by the wall/fence presents a traffic hazard that needs to be corrected by re-configuring the wall/fence to provide a sight distance that complies with AASHTO standards; and

WHEREAS, Owner has obtained a cost estimates for the design and reconfiguration of the wall/fence and has provided invoices for architectural and engineering costs for the work to

reconfigure the wall, copies of which are attached hereto and compiled in Exhibit A and incorporated into the this Agreement by this reference; and

WHEREAS, County has determined that the reconfiguration of the section of the wall/fence as provided in the design shown in Exhibit A, attached, will mitigate the sight obstruction caused by the wall/fence and will provide an adequate sight distance for vehicles turning left from East Evans to South Quebec that will satisfy the AASHTO engineering standards.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual obligations and agreements contained herein, County and Owner hereby agree as follows:

1. Owner agrees to complete all the work necessary to re-configure the wall/fence at the northeast corner of the Property at the intersection of East Evans and South Yosemite in accordance with the design shown in Exhibit A.

2. Owner agrees to contract for such work and have the work completed as soon as is reasonably possible subject to the contractor's schedule. Upon execution of this Agreement, Owner shall take the necessary steps to contract for the work to be completed and shall provide the County with an estimated completion date. Within, 30 days after the execution of this Agreement, Owner shall provide the County with an estimated completion date of the entire project. Although Owner is subject to his contractors' schedules to perform the work, Owner nevertheless agrees to proceed in good faith to accomplish the completion of the work contemplated under this Agreement as soon as is reasonably possible.

3. County agrees that the building permit granted by the County to the Owner for the construction of this wall/fence shall be amended to include the changes in design as shown in Exhibit A, that the permit shall be extended for a sufficient period of time for Owner to complete the work within Owner's estimated completion date, and that there are no additional fees to the Owner.

4. County agrees to pay to Owner, (which includes architectural/engineering, demolition, and construction costs), up to the amount of the estimate or Eight Thousand Five Hundred and Fifty-four and 82/100 Dollars ($8,554.82) toward the cost of reconfiguring the wall/fence. Upon completion of work, Owner shall notify County of the work completed and provide County with a statement of the actual cost for the work, of which County will pay up to a maximum of $8,554.82 of the cost, which payment amount shall be the full extent of County's participation towards the work. Owner shall submit statements of work completed along with applicable invoices or receipts to the County. Owner may submit these records as they are incurred, or in stages of completion, or upon final completion of the work. County shall make payment(s) to Owner within thirty (30) days of its receipt of each statement. Owner shall submit these statements, via email or U.S. Mail, to:

   Chuck Haskins, Engineering Service Division Manager
   Arapahoe County Department of Public Works and Development
   6924 South Lima Street
   Centennial, Colorado 80112-3853
   chaskins@arapahoegov.com

5. Nothing in this Agreement is intended to be a waiver of, nor shall anything in this Agreement be interpreted as a waiver of, any government immunity available to County under any provision of law, including but not limited to the Colorado Governmental Immunity Act, CRS 24-10-101, *et seq.*

6. Owner and County acknowledge and agree that completion of the work required to re-configure the wall/fence as described in Exhibit A is a matter of public safety and as a result, Owner agrees that in the event that Owner fails to perform the work for re-configuration of the wall/fence as required under this Agreement, County may bring an action in any District Court to compel completion of the work and Owner agrees that injunctive relief for specific performance of completion of the work is appropriate under law and equity.

7. Owner and County agree that in any action to compel performance of any of the obligations under this Agreement or for damages occasioned by a party's asserted breach of any of the terms of this Agreement, the prevailing party is entitled to their reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in such action.

8. This Agreement is intended as the complete integration of all understandings between the parties hereto. No prior or contemporaneous addition, deletion, or other amendment hereto shall have any force or effect whatsoever, unless embodied herein in writing. No subsequent novation, renewal; addition, deletion, or other amendment hereto shall have any force or effect unless embodied in a written amendatory or other Agreement properly executed by the parties. This Agreement and any amendments shall be binding upon the parties, their successors and assigns.

9. Owner and County assure and guarantee that they possess the legal authority to enter into this Agreement. The person or persons signing and executing this Agreement on behalf of each party, does hereby warrant and guarantee that he/she or they have been fully authorized to execute this Agreement on behalf of the party and to validly and legally bind the party to all the terms, performances and provisions herein set forth.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Owner and County have set their hands in agreement effective the date first written above.

OWNER:

Mark Smith

ARAPAHOE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

By: _____

Attest:

_____
Deputy Clerk and Recorder
Clerk to the Board of County Commissioners

Board Summary Report

Date: May 12, 2016

To: Board of County Commissioners

Through: Cheryl Ternes, Department Director
Human Services

From: Angela W. Lytle, Deputy Director
Division of Child and Adult Protection

Subject: Collaborative Foster Care Program—Intergovernmental Agreement between Arapahoe, Douglas and Jefferson Counties.

Request and Recommendation
As reviewed and discussed in Study Session on May 23, 2016, the Arapahoe County Department of Human Services requests that the Board of County Commissioners approves and signs the annual Intergovernmental Agreement for the continuation of the Collaborative Foster Care Program (CFCP) between Arapahoe, Douglas, and Jefferson Counties effective June 1, 2016 unless terminated.

Direction/Information: Seeking approval through signature.

Request and Recommendation
Since 2008, Arapahoe and Jefferson Counties have managed a fully integrated foster care program known as the Collaborative Foster Care Program (CFCP). In 2013, Douglas County was added as a partner. The Intergovernmental Agreement presented for approval and signature continues to formalize the partnership between the three counties, articulate expected roles and responsibilities and outlines financial agreements.

Background
County Departments of Human Services have historically developed and managed their own foster care programs creating across the state competition for stretched foster care resources, duplication of services and sometimes challenging inconsistencies with practice and level of service. Arapahoe, Douglas and Jefferson Counties designed and implemented a collaborative foster care program that fully integrated all functions of three foster care programs into one in order to streamline recruitment, training, retention and support to foster families, improve marketing and outreach to potential foster families and improve services to the children placed into the foster care program. By sharing resources, the program has benefitted from the increased ability financially to better support foster families and serve foster children.

Links to Align Arapahoe

Foster Safe Communities and Foster a Healthier and Vibrant County

Foster Families who choose to become certified with the CFCP should expect to receive high quality services that begin the very first contact they have with us. The children placed into their homes should expect the very best services as well and know that the families with whom they are placed are well trained and prepared to meet their needs and are adequately supported by the CFCP.

Discussion and Alternatives

Rather than three different recruitment and marketing plans and resulting competition for resources, the three counties in the CFCP join together to reach families who will bring to foster children the very best care. Rather than three different training programs, the CFCP combined resources and efforts to redesign and strengthen the training curriculum to better prepare the foster families to meet the needs of children coming into the Child Protection System. The staff from all three counties are fully integrated, philosophically aligned and supervised in a consistent manner which improves the quality of support to the foster families and the service to the children. This remains a unique and highly praised program by the Colorado Department of Human Services.

Alternative would be a return to a single foster care program per county.

Fiscal Impact

All foster care program costs directly related to recruiting, training and supporting foster families are supported by the Child Welfare Block Allocation at the county level. All costs associated with placement and services to children placed into the foster family homes are also supported by the Child Welfare Block Allocation at the county level. Any administrative costs that may be realized outside of those primary functions, such as foster family appreciation events, are split among the three counties according to the Intergovernmental Agreement. Each County commits to the partnership in kind services such as IT support and administrative and clerical support to assure shared collaboration and ownership. There is not a fiscal impact to the budget.

Reviewed By:

Janet Kennedy, Finance Department Director
Michael Valentine, Deputy County Attorney
Suzanna Dobbins, Human Services Finance Manager

RESOLUTION NO. It was moved by Commissioner _____ and duly seconded by Commissioner _____ to approve and sign the Intergovernmental Agreement between Jefferson County Human Services, Division of Children, Youth and Family Services, Douglas County Department of Human Services, Division of Children, Youth and Family Services and Arapahoe County Department of Human Services, Division of Child and Adult Protection Services for the continuation of the Collaborative Foster Care Program (CFCP) effective June 1, 2016 for one year unless terminated.

The vote was:

Commissioner Bockenfeld, _____; Commissioner Doty, _____; Commissioner Holen, _____; Commissioner Jackson, _____; Commissioner Sharpe, _____.

The Chair declared the motion carried and so ordered.

Board Summary Report

Date: 05/05/2016

To: Board of County Commissioners

Through: Don Klemme, Community Resources Department Director

From: T.J. Westphal, County Veterans Service Officer

Subject: Veterans Service Officer Summary Report

Direction/Information:

The purpose of this report is to communicate the services provided to Veteran's and their families by the Arapahoe County Veterans Service Officer during the month of April, 2016.

Background

The Colorado Department of Veterans Services requires assistance to Veterans and their families in compliance with Sections 28-5-801 et seq., Colorado Revised Statutes, in receiving their benefits such as, but not limited to:

Burials:
- Provide veterans within Arapahoe County the information regarding their burial allowance
- Advise and assist family members of veterans collection of death pension

Health Services:
- Assist veterans in processing claims for medical benefits
- Assist and coordinate with veterans' Medicaid cases

Claims:
- Prepare, present and appeal claims for VA benefits on behalf of Arapahoe County veterans and their dependents

Links to Align Arapahoe

The services provided to veterans through our Veterans Services Office link to the Align Arapahoe Initiatives of Quality of Life and Service First. By connecting veterans to the resources available for them and assisting them with claims which may increase their available income, quality of life is improved. Service First is met through the timely and professional delivery of services to all veterans and family members who work with the Veterans Service Office.

Discussion

Arapahoe County Veterans Services provides full-time assistance to veterans and their families as required by state statutes.

Alternatives

This document is a state form required by the State of Colorado to be submitted monthly as application for monetary benefits payable to the County General Fund in accordance with Section 28-5-707 Colorado Revised Statutes.

Fiscal Impact

The County receives $16,560 annually for the services provided to veterans in Arapahoe County. There is also a positive fiscal impact to individual veterans through successful claims recoveries.

Concurrence

This report was presented to Donald A. Klemme, Community Resources Department Director, who recommends approval and signature by the Board of County Commissioners.

Attorney Comments
If appropriate, include this section.

Reviewed By:
Although physical signatures are not required, the BSR must still be reviewed by all necessary departments prior to submitting. You MUST provide sufficient time for finance and county attorneys to review your document prior to being submitted. The names of the individuals that have approved must be listed below.

T.J. Westphal, County Veterans Service Officer
Linda Haley, Senior Resources Division Manager
Don Klemme, Community Resources Department Director

ARAPAHOE COUNTY
VETERANS SERVICE OFFICE

Arapahoe County Veterans Service Office
April, 2016 - Summary Report

For the month of April, 2016:

- The County Veterans Service Office prepared, presented and appealed claims for federal benefits to the Department of Veterans Affairs. The office also administered the Veterans Trust Fund for emergency financial assistance. Staff conducted all daily operations to include meeting with veterans and/or their dependents, conducting community outreach, processing legal correspondence and fielding calls related to claims, referrals and general inquiries.

- John Rossie gave **16 hours** of volunteer service to the County Veterans Service Office.

- Goals and Objectives:

  - Total phone calls processed: **1039**
    - Specific to current claim action/status: **47**
    - Appointments, referrals, general inquiries: **481**
  - Scheduled/walk-in appointments/home visits: **80**
  - Claims for federal benefits filed to the VA: **35**
  - Other applications and claim correspondence: **64**
  - Requests for military records and corrections: **3**
  - Veterans Trust Fund requests granted: **7**
  - New favorable award notifications received: **30**
    - 2016 Favorable decisions to date: **86**
    - 2016 VA claim award recoveries to date: **$1,250,362.84**

- Training, Outreach and Community Events:

  - 04/12/16 – Community: Monthly UVC Meeting
  - 04/19/16 – 04/22/16 – Training: Annual CDVA Training Conference
  - 04/28/16 – Outreach: Benefits Presentation @ Sertoma Club

Respectfully Submitted,

T.J. Westphal
County Veterans Service Officer
Arapahoe County, Colorado

Colorado Department of Military and Veterans Affairs
County Veterans Service Officers Monthly Report and Certification of Pay

County of **ARAPAHOE** Month of **APRIL 2016**

General Information		Request for Medicla Records	
Telephone Calls	528	21-4142 &21-4142a	1
Office Visits	77	Military Records/Corrections	
Home Visits	3	SF180	1
Outreach Visits	1	DD149	1
Community Events	1	DD293	0
Request for Medal	0	NA13075	0
Operation Recognition	0	Other	0
Correspondence Rec'd	38	NSC Pension	
Correspondence Written	64	21-527EZ	1
Info/Referral/Inquiries		21-8416	0
VCAA Notice	2	Widows Pension	
State Benefits	1	21-534EZ	1
Income Verifications	3	21-8416	0
New Claims Initiated		DIC	
21-22 CVA	18	21-5234EZ	1
21-22 others	0	Waivers/Compromise	
SC Entitlement		21-4138	0
21-526EZ New	12	21-5655	0
21-0966 Informal	6	Appeals	
21-526EZ New Issue	0	21-0985 NOD	1
21-526EZ Reopen	1	VA Form 9	0
21-526EZ Increase	6	VA Home Loan	
21-526EZ Secondary	0	26-1800	0

21-526EZ Reinstate	0	Homeless Veterans Claims	
21-526EZ IU	1	Service Connection	0
21-8940 IU	1	NSC Pension	0
21-4192 IU Employer	1	Incarcerated Veterans	
21-4138 SMC	4	21-526EZ Reinstatement	0
21-686c Dependency	9	21-4138 Apportionment	0
21-674 School Attendance	3	Insurance Claims	
VA Healthcare		29-357	0
10-10EZ	3	29-4364	0
10-10EZR	0	29-336 Beneficiary	0
10-10D CHAMPVA-DEP	1	29-4125 Lump Sum	0
10-7979A CHAMPVA	0	VTF Requests	
10-7959C CHAMPVA INS	0	Rental Assistance	1
VOC REHAB		Utilities Assistance	0
28-1900 CH31	0	Prescription Assistance	0
MISC CLAIMS		Food Assistance	4
21-8678 Clothing Allow	1	Transportation Assistance	1
21-4502 Adaptive Equip.	0	Clothing Assistance	0
26-4555 Housing	0	Other	1
10-0103 HISA Grant	0		
CRSC	0		
Burial Allowance			
21P-530	0		
40-1330	0		
21-2008	0		
26-1817	0		

Certification by County Veterans Service Officer

I hereby certify, the above captioned monthly report is true and accurate. I have been paid the following amount(s) for the month of _____, 20____ from _____county.

Salary	$_____
Expenses	$_____
Office Space	$_____
Telephone	$_____
Office Supplies	$_____
Travel	$_____
Training Conference	$_____
Other	$_____
TOTAL	$_____

_____ 5-5-16

Signature of County Veterans Service Officer — Date

Certification by County Commissioner or Designee

In accordance with CRS 28-5-707, I hereby certify the accuracy of the Report CVA-26 revised 9-11-2015:

_____ County Commissioner or Designee of

_____County

_____ Date

This certification, submitted monthly, properly signed and executed is considered as application for the monetary benefits to the County General Fund in accordance with 28-5-804 (2002) Colorado Revised State Statute.

Submit this form no later than the 15th day the following month.

Mail to:
Colorado Division of Veterans Affairs
Attention: Deputy Director
1355 South Colorado Blvd.
Building C, Suite 113
Denver, Colorado 80222